

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MARYLON BOYD, et al.,

No. C-04-5459 MMC

11 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' TENTH
MOTION IN LIMINE**

12 v.

13 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
et al.,

14 Defendants.

(Docket No. 284)

15 /

16
17 Before the Court is defendants' administrative motion, filed June 7, 2007, to seal
18 plaintiffs' opposition to defendants' tenth motion in limine, on the ground that plaintiffs have
19 violated a protective order by filing an unsealed brief that describes police personnel
20 records. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, any opposition was due no later than June 12,
21 2007. No opposition has been filed.

22 For good cause shown, the motion is hereby GRANTED. The Clerk is hereby
23 DIRECTED to delete from the electronic case filing system Plaintiffs' Joint Opposition to
24 Defendants' Motions in Limine (Docket No. 263). No later than June 18, 2007, plaintiffs
25 shall (1) refile said document electronically, redacting their opposition to defendants' tenth
26 motion in limine, and (2) manually file an unredacted copy of said document for filing under
27 seal, in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(c)(3). Plaintiffs shall take steps to file under
28 seal, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, any future filings or portions thereof that contain

1 Confidential Official Information, as defined in the protective order entered February 1,
2 2006.

3 This order terminates Docket No. 282.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: June 13, 2007
6

Maxine M. Chesney
MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28